



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/759,965	01/12/2001	Matthew Thomas Heisey	106281 / 0528224	1681
26874	7590	04/30/2008	EXAMINER	
FROST BROWN TODD, LLC			CRANE, LAWRENCE E	
2200 PNC CENTER				
201 E. FIFTH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CINCINNATI, OH 45202			1623	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/30/2008	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@fbtlaw.com  
rgaunce@fbtlaw.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/759,965	HEISEY ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Lawrence E. Crane	1623	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** *Response after final received.*

(1) Lawrence E. Crane. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Steven J. Goldstein. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 23 April 2008

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*The rejection of record*

Claims discussed:

*all remaining of record*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant was advised that amended claim 1 and the Sarama declaration were directed in part to subject matter not previously considered or previously searched, and therefore not allowable, and that an Advisory Action would be mailed shortly.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Lawrence E. Crane/  
 Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1623

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)